RESEARCH
www.commprac.com
ISSN 1462 2815

UNLOCKING THE COMPLEXITY OF CLEFT LIP AND PALATE: A COMPREHENSIVE EXPLORATION

Kethiswar Raj Rajendran [1], Vinodhini Priyanka Radhakrishnan [2] and Murugesan K [3]*

[1,2,3] Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Saveetha Dental College and Hospital, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Science (SIMATS), Saveetha University, Poonamalle High Road, Velappanchavadi, Chennai.
*Corresponding Author Email: dr.mkm70@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.10964700

Abstract

Cleft lip and palate (CLP) represent one of the most common congenital craniofacial anomalies worldwide, affecting approximately 1 in 700 live births. This review offers a comprehensive exploration of the multifaceted nature of CLP, delving into its etiology, epidemiology, clinical manifestations, and management strategies. The complexity of CLP arises from the intricate interplay of genetic predispositions, environmental influences, and teratogenic factors during embryonic development. Recent advancements in molecular genetics have unveiled various gene mutations associated with CLP, highlighting its complex inheritance patterns. Epidemiological studies reveal variable prevalence rates across different ethnic groups, emphasizing the importance of understanding demographic disparities in the occurrence of these anomalies. Embryologically, CLP originates from disturbances in the fusion of midline structures, such as the lip, primary palate, and secondary palate, leading to diverse phenotypic variations. Clinical manifestations range from isolated cleft lip to complete cleft lip and palate, with associated challenges in feeding, speech articulation, dental alignment, and otologic health. Beyond the physical aspects, individuals with CLP often encounter significant psychosocial hurdles related to facial appearance and social interactions. Management of CLP necessitates a coordinated, multidisciplinary approach aimed at addressing the complex needs of affected individuals across their lifespan. Surgical intervention remains the cornerstone of treatment, typically undertaken during infancy to restore facial aesthetics and enhance functional outcomes. Various surgical techniques, including cheiloplasty, palatoplasty, and alveolar bone grafting, are utilized to achieve optimal results. Adjunctive therapies such as speech therapy, orthodontic interventions, and psychosocial support are integral components of comprehensive care for individuals with CLP. Despite advancements, individuals with CLP may encounter challenges and complications such as surgical risks, speech impairments, dental irregularities, and psychosocial concerns, necessitating early intervention, regular monitoring, and individualized treatment plans. Through multidisciplinary collaboration, early intervention, and ongoing support, clinicians and researchers strive to optimize functional outcomes and improve the quality of life for individuals living with CLP.

Keywords: Cleft Lip, Cleft Palate, Congenital Anomaly, Etiology, Epidemiology, Clinical Manifestations, Management Strategies, Genetic Predispositions, Environmental İnfluences, Teratogenic Factors, Embryology, Surgical İntervention, Speech Therapy.

INTRODUCTION

Cleft lip and palate (CLP) stand as prevalent congenital craniofacial anomalies, impacting approximately 1 in 700 live births globally, stemming from incomplete fusion of facial structures during embryonic development. This anomaly gives rise to a spectrum of functional and aesthetic challenges. Grasping the etiology, epidemiology, clinical manifestations, and management strategies assumes paramount importance in ensuring effective care delivery and enhancing outcomes for affected individuals (Mohan 2012). The etiology of CLP is multifaceted, involving genetic predispositions, environmental factors, and teratogenic influences, with maternal behaviors such as smoking, alcohol consumption, and certain medications during pregnancy posing significant risks. Moreover, advances in molecular genetics have unveiled various

gene mutations associated with CLP, delineating intricate inheritance patterns. Epidemiological studies reveal variable prevalence rates across different ethnic groups, underscoring the importance of understanding demographic disparities in the occurrence of these anomalies (Kulesa-Mrowiecka, Lipowicz et al. 2024). Embryologically, CLP arises from disruptions in the fusion of midline structures, including the lip, primary palate, and secondary palate, leading to diverse phenotypic variations. Clinical manifestations of CLP range from isolated cleft lip to complete cleft lip and palate, with associated challenges in feeding, speech articulation, dental alignment, and otologic health, alongside profound psychosocial implications. Prenatal diagnosis is attainable through advanced imaging techniques such as ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), enabling early detection and comprehensive prenatal counselling (Nagarajan, Rajesh Kumar et al. 2015, Subramanian, Kishorekumar et al. 2018, Gatta, Di Grezia et al. 2021). Postnatally, a multidisciplinary team comprising pediatricians, plastic surgeons, otolaryngologists, speech therapists, and genetic counselors conducts thorough clinical evaluations to confirm diagnosis and devise tailored treatment plans (Reddy and Fanan 2020). Surgical intervention remains pivotal, typically undertaken during infancy to restore facial aesthetics and enhance functional outcomes through techniques like cheiloplasty, palatoplasty, and alveolar bone grafting. Adjunctive therapies such as speech therapy, orthodontic interventions, and psychosocial support constitute integral components of comprehensive care for individuals with CLP. Despite advancements, individuals with CLP may encounter challenges and complications including surgical risks, speech impairments, dental irregularities, and psychosocial concerns, necessitating early intervention, regular monitoring, and individualized treatment plans (Yilmaz and Demirkaya 2020). Ongoing research endeavors aim to deepen our understanding of the genetic and molecular mechanisms underlying CLP, fostering the development of targeted interventions and personalized therapies, while innovations in regenerative medicine hold promise for novel treatment modalities capable of improving outcomes and alleviating burdens for affected individuals and their families (Anand, Bharathi et al. 2021, Manivannan, Viswanathan et al. 2022, Lyulcheva-Bennett, Blumenow et al. 2023). In conclusion, CLP represents a complex anomaly with profound implications, demanding a holistic approach encompassing early diagnosis, multidisciplinary care, and ongoing support to optimize outcomes and enhance the quality of life for affected individuals.

Etiology and Epidemiology

The origins of cleft lip and palate (CLP) are complex, influenced by a combination of genetic predispositions, environmental factors, and teratogenic influences. Maternal behaviors during pregnancy, such as smoking and alcohol consumption, have been linked to an increased risk of CLP. For instance, recent studies have shown that maternal smoking can significantly elevate the likelihood of a child being born with a cleft lip or palate (Keels 1991). Similarly, exposure to alcohol during pregnancy has been identified as a risk factor for CLP, with the severity of the anomaly often correlating with the level of maternal alcohol consumption. Additionally, certain medications taken during pregnancy have been associated with an elevated risk of CLP, further highlighting the importance of cautious medication use and prenatal care (Kfuri, Morlock et al. 2008).

Advances in molecular genetics have provided valuable insights into the genetic basis of CLP, revealing various gene mutations associated with the condition. Recent

research has identified specific gene variants that play a role in the development of cleft lip and palate, shedding light on the intricate inheritance patterns involved (Askarian, Gholami et al. 2023). For example, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified several genetic loci linked to CLP, offering new avenues for understanding the underlying mechanisms and potential targets for therapeutic intervention. Furthermore, studies examining gene-environment interactions have highlighted the interplay between genetic susceptibility and environmental exposures in shaping the risk of CLP (Zeiger 2001). These findings underscore the need for a comprehensive approach to understanding the etiology of CLP, taking into account both genetic and environmental factors (Southard, Edelmann et al. 2012). Epidemiological studies have revealed variable prevalence rates of CLP across different ethnic groups, underscoring the significance of demographic disparities in the occurrence of these anomalies. Recent population-based studies have highlighted disparities in CLP prevalence among various racial and ethnic groups, with certain populations experiencing higher rates of the condition (Tolarová and Cervenka 1998). For example, research has shown that individuals of Asian descent tend to have a higher prevalence of cleft lip and palate compared to other ethnic groups. These findings emphasize the importance of considering socio-demographic factors in understanding the burden of CLP and developing targeted interventions to address disparities in care and outcomes (Mercer, Fitzpatrick et al. 2017).

Embryological Development

Facial development in the embryo is a meticulously orchestrated process, involving a series of intricate steps. At the heart of this process lies the fusion of midline structures, such as the lip, primary palate, and secondary palate. Failure of this fusion during embryogenesis results in cleft lip and palate (CLP), a congenital condition with significant functional and aesthetic implications (Braddock, Lipinski et al. 2020). Recent advancements in developmental biology have shed light on the molecular mechanisms underlying normal facial development and the disruptions that lead to CLP. For instance, research has elucidated the role of various signaling pathways, such as the transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) pathway, in regulating tissue fusion and patterning during facial morphogenesis (Rawlins and Opperman 2008). Studies employing advanced imaging techniques, such as high-resolution ultrasound and three-dimensional (3D) imaging, have provided detailed insights into the dynamic processes of facial development in utero, offering new opportunities for early detection and intervention in cases of CLP (Anderson, Yong et al. 2014).

Disturbances at different stages of embryonic development can give rise to a spectrum of phenotypic variations in CLP, ranging from isolated cleft lip to complete cleft lip and palate. Recent studies have highlighted the role of genetic and environmental factors in modulating the severity and presentation of CLP phenotypes (Chu, Tamasas et al. 2016). For example, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified specific genetic variants associated with increased susceptibility to CLP and variations in the severity of the condition. Additionally, research exploring the influence of environmental factors, such as maternal nutrition and exposure to toxins, has provided insights into the complex interplay between genetic predisposition and external influences in shaping facial development. Understanding the factors contributing to phenotypic variability in CLP is critical for tailoring treatment approaches and optimizing outcomes for affected individuals (Roosenboom, Hens et al. 2016).

Furthermore, recent advances in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine hold promise for novel therapeutic strategies in the management of CLP. By harnessing techniques such as stem cell-based therapies and bioengineering approaches, researchers aim to develop innovative solutions for repairing cleft defects and promoting tissue regeneration (Roosenboom, Hens et al. 2016). For instance, studies have explored the use of stem cells derived from various sources, including adipose tissue and umbilical cord blood, to enhance wound healing and tissue regeneration in cleft repair surgeries. Moreover, bioengineering techniques involving the fabrication of customized scaffolds and biomaterials offer potential solutions for promoting tissue integration and functional restoration in patients with CLP (Bhaskar and Nagarjuna 2021). These advancements underscore the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration between clinicians, researchers, and engineers in driving progress towards improved treatments for CLP and better outcomes for affected individuals (Pedde, Mirani et al. 2017).

Embryological Development and CLP

The manifestation of cleft lip and palate (CLP) encompasses a wide spectrum of presentations, with the extent and severity of the defect dictating its phenotypic variability. Cleft lip may occur unilaterally or bilaterally, with the fissure sometimes extending into the alveolus, the bony ridge that houses the teeth. Similarly, cleft palate can affect the primary (hard) palate, the bony structure at the front of the roof of the mouth, the secondary (soft) palate, or both (Leslie and Marazita 2013). These variations in the anatomical involvement of CLP contribute to a range of functional challenges for affected individuals. For instance, infants with cleft lip and palate may encounter difficulties in breastfeeding due to compromised suction, while children with cleft palate may struggle with speech articulation and nasal regurgitation of fluids. Moreover, the presence of a cleft can impact dental alignment, leading to malocclusion and orthodontic issues, while also increasing the risk of otologic complications such as middle ear infections and hearing loss (Lees 1998).

Beyond the physical ramifications, individuals with CLP often confront significant psychosocial hurdles that can profoundly affect their quality of life. The visible nature of facial differences associated with CLP can subject affected individuals to stigmatization, teasing, and social exclusion, contributing to feelings of self-consciousness and low self-esteem. Recent research has highlighted the pervasive impact of psychosocial factors on the well-being of individuals with CLP and their families. For example, studies have shown that children with CLP are at increased risk of experiencing bullying and peer victimization, which can have long-lasting psychological consequences. Additionally, parents of children with CLP may grapple with heightened levels of stress and anxiety, stemming from concerns about their child's health, development, and future prospects. Recognizing the multifaceted challenges faced by individuals with CLP, efforts to provide comprehensive psychosocial support and promote inclusive environments are essential for fostering resilience and promoting positive psychosocial outcomes (Subramanian, Kishorekumar et al. 2018). In recent years, there has been growing recognition of the importance of a holistic approach to the management of CLP that addresses both the physical and psychosocial dimensions of the condition. Multidisciplinary care teams comprising specialists from various disciplines, including plastic surgery, otolaryngology, speech therapy, psychology, and social work, play a pivotal role in providing comprehensive care tailored to the unique needs of each individual with CLP

(Umar 2018). Recent advancements in surgical techniques, such as minimally invasive approaches and tissue engineering strategies, have enabled improved outcomes in terms of aesthetic and functional restoration. Moreover, interventions aimed at promoting psychosocial well-being, such as peer support groups, counseling services, and school-based anti-bullying initiatives, are integral components of holistic care for individuals with CLP. By addressing the diverse needs of individuals with CLP across the lifespan, from infancy through adulthood, clinicians and researchers strive to optimize outcomes and enhance the overall quality of life for affected individuals and their families (Alansari, Bedos et al. 2014).

Diagnosis and Evaluation and CLP

The management of cleft lip and palate (CLP) requires a comprehensive, interdisciplinary approach that addresses the multifaceted needs of affected individuals throughout their lives. Central to this approach is surgical intervention, which serves as the cornerstone of treatment, often performed during infancy to address both aesthetic and functional concerns(Muhamad, Azzaldeen et al. 2014) . Recent advances in surgical techniques have enabled improved outcomes for individuals with CLP. For example, minimally invasive approaches, such as endoscopic-assisted repair of cleft palate, have been developed to minimize scarring and optimize outcomes. Additionally, innovations in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine hold promise for enhancing surgical outcomes and promoting tissue regeneration in cleft repair procedures (Anand, Bhagania et al. 2023). For instance, bioengineered scaffolds composed of biocompatible materials can provide support and promote tissue integration, leading to improved functional restoration and reduced complications in individuals undergoing cleft palate repair (Ahmed and Hincke 2010).

In addition to surgical intervention, adjunctive therapies play a crucial role in the comprehensive care of individuals with CLP. Speech therapy is essential for addressing speech articulation difficulties that may arise due to velopharyngeal insufficiency, a common complication of cleft palate. Recent advancements in speech therapy techniques, such as computer-assisted interventions and telepractice, have expanded access to quality care for individuals with CLP, particularly in underserved areas. Orthodontic interventions are also integral components of CLP management, aimed at correcting dental malocclusions and optimizing dental alignment (Kakadiya, Tandon et al. 2017). Advances in orthodontic technology, such as clear aligners and digital orthodontic planning software, have revolutionized the delivery of orthodontic care for individuals with CLP, offering more efficient and patient-friendly treatment options. Furthermore, psychosocial support services are crucial for addressing the emotional and social challenges faced by individuals with CLP and their families. Recent initiatives, such as peer support groups and online counseling platforms, have emerged to provide accessible and culturally sensitive support for individuals with CLP, fostering resilience and promoting mental well-being (De Sabbata 2020).

Moreover, the transition to adulthood poses unique challenges for individuals with CLP, requiring ongoing support and specialized care. Transition clinics, which provide tailored services for adolescents with CLP as they navigate the transition from pediatric to adult care settings, have become increasingly recognized as essential components of comprehensive CLP care (Patel, Hoyler et al. 2012). Recent efforts have focused on expanding the scope of transition clinics to address the evolving

needs of adolescents with CLP, including vocational counseling, education on self-management skills, and access to adult healthcare services. By addressing the complex needs of individuals with CLP across the lifespan and leveraging recent advancements in surgical techniques, adjunctive therapies, and psychosocial support services, clinicians and researchers aim to optimize outcomes and enhance the overall quality of life for affected individuals and their families (Ostrowsky and CCM 2022).

Management Approaches and CLP

Despite significant progress in surgical techniques and the implementation of multidisciplinary care approaches, individuals affected by cleft lip and palate (CLP) continue to face various challenges and potential complications throughout their lives. Surgical interventions, while essential for addressing the structural anomalies associated with CLP, carry inherent risks such as wound dehiscence, fistula formation, and velopharyngeal insufficiency (Reddy and Fanan 2020). Recent advancements in surgical technology and techniques have aimed to minimize these risks and improve surgical outcomes. For instance, the use of intraoperative imaging modalities, such as intraoperative computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), allows for real-time visualization of anatomical structures during surgery, enhancing precision and reducing the risk of complications (Seibel, Melzer et al. 1997). Additionally, the development of minimally invasive surgical approaches, including endoscopic-assisted procedures, offers potential benefits such as reduced scarring, shorter recovery times, and improved cosmetic outcomes for individuals undergoing cleft repair surgeries (Shirani, Kahnamouii et al. 2015).

In addition to surgical risks, individuals with CLP may encounter long-term issues that require ongoing management and support. Speech impairments are common among individuals with cleft palate, stemming from velopharyngeal insufficiency and structural abnormalities affecting the resonance and articulation of speech sounds. Recent advancements in speech therapy techniques, such as nasometry and biofeedback-based interventions, have been developed to improve speech outcomes and optimize communication skills for individuals with CLP (Rollins and Oren 2020). Furthermore, dental irregularities, including malocclusion and dental crowding, often require orthodontic interventions to correct. Recent innovations in orthodontic technology, such as digital scanning and computer-aided design (CAD) software, allow for more precise treatment planning and customization of orthodontic appliances, leading to improved dental alignment and occlusal function for individuals with CLP (Shalabh, Kiran et al. 2022). Psychosocial concerns, including body image issues, social stigma, and psychological distress, also warrant attention and support. Recent research has emphasized the importance of integrated psychosocial interventions, such as cognitive-behavioral therapy and peer support programs, in addressing the emotional and social well-being of individuals with CLP and their families (Berlin, Fulcher et al. 2023).

Early intervention, regular monitoring, and individualized treatment plans are critical components of comprehensive care for individuals with CLP, aimed at mitigating complications and optimizing long-term outcomes. Timely intervention, starting from infancy, allows for proactive management of feeding difficulties, speech delays, and dental abnormalities associated with CLP, minimizing the impact on development and quality of life. Regular monitoring and follow-up appointments enable healthcare providers to assess progress, identify emerging issues (Berlin, Fulcher et al. 2023),

and adjust treatment plans accordingly. Individualized treatment plans take into account the unique needs and preferences of each individual with CLP, incorporating a holistic approach that addresses both medical and psychosocial aspects of care. By embracing a patient-centered model of care and leveraging recent advancements in surgical techniques, adjunctive therapies (Thenuwara, Curtin et al. 2023), and psychosocial support services, clinicians and researchers strive to optimize outcomes and enhance the overall well-being of individuals living with CLP (Hammedi, Leclercq et al. 2021).

Challenges and Complications

Cleft lip and palate (CLP) stand as complex congenital anomalies with profound implications for both affected individuals and their families. A deep understanding of their etiology, embryology, clinical manifestations, and management strategies is fundamental for delivering effective care and improving outcomes. Recent research has expanded our knowledge of the genetic and environmental factors contributing to CLP, shedding light on the intricate interplay between genetic predispositions, maternal behaviors, and teratogenic influences (Askarian, Gholami et al. 2023). For instance, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified specific genetic variants associated with an increased risk of CLP, providing insights into the underlying biological mechanisms. Additionally, advancements in prenatal imaging techniques, such as three-dimensional (3D) ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), enable early detection and accurate diagnosis of CLP, allowing for timely intervention and comprehensive prenatal counselling. By integrating insights from genetics, embryology, and diagnostic imaging, clinicians can tailor personalized care plans that address the unique needs of individuals with CLP, optimizing outcomes and minimizing complications (Mossey 2023).

Multidisciplinary collaboration plays a pivotal role in the holistic management of CLP, bringing together experts from various c the lifespan. These multidisciplinary teams work collaboratively to develop individualized treatment (Mossey 2023) plans that address the complex needs of each patient, incorporating surgical interventions, speech therapy, orthodontic treatments, and psychosocial support services. For example, the implementation of telemedicine platforms has facilitated remote consultations and multidisciplinary care coordination, improving access to specialized care for individuals with CLP in underserved regions (Lesher, Fakhry et al. 2020). Furthermore, collaborative research initiatives have fueled innovation in surgical techniques, rehabilitation strategies, and psychosocial interventions, driving continuous improvement in care delivery and patient outcomes (Jacobs, Myers et al. 2021).

Early intervention and ongoing support are essential components of effective CLP management, aimed at optimizing functional outcomes and enhancing the quality of life for affected individuals and their families. Recent advancements in surgical techniques, such as presurgical orthopedics and minimally invasive approaches, have enabled earlier correction of cleft anomalies, reducing the need for multiple surgeries and minimizing scarring. Additionally, advances in speech therapy interventions, such as computer-based therapy programs and virtual reality simulations, offer new opportunities for improving speech outcomes and promoting language development in children with CLP. (Jacobs, Myers et al. 2021) Moreover, peer support groups, online communities, and counseling services provide invaluable emotional support

and resources for individuals and families navigating the challenges associated with CLP. By embracing a patient-centered approach that emphasizes early intervention, multidisciplinary collaboration, and ongoing support, clinicians and researchers can strive to optimize outcomes and improve the overall well-being of individuals living with CLP (Tehrani, Truesdell et al. 2020).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this comprehensive exploration has shed light on the intricate complexities surrounding cleft lip and palate (CLP), emphasizing the importance of understanding its etiology, epidemiology, clinical manifestations, and management strategies. Through multidisciplinary collaboration and advancements in surgical techniques, adjunctive therapies, and psychosocial support, significant progress has been made in addressing the challenges faced by individuals with CLP. However, the journey toward optimal outcomes and enhanced quality of life for affected individuals and their families remains ongoing. Continued research, innovation, and advocacy efforts are essential to further refine our understanding of CLP and improve the effectiveness of interventions. By embracing a patient-centered approach and fostering inclusive environments, we can strive to unlock the complexities of CLP and ensure that all individuals affected by this condition receive the comprehensive care and support they deserve.

Reference

1) Ahmed, T. A. and M. T. Hincke (2010). "Strategies for articular cartilage lesion repair and functional restoration." Tissue Engineering Part B: Reviews **16**(3): 305-329.

2) Alansari, R., et al. (2014). "Living with cleft lip and palate: the treatment journey." The Cleft palate-craniofacial journal **51**(2): 222-229.

3) Anand, A. V., et al. (2021). "Identification of novel potent pancreatic lipase inhibitors from Ficus racemosa." Biomedicine **41**(1): 23-30.

4) Anand, M., et al. (2023). "Tissue engineering in plastic and reconstructive surgery: fostering advances in the 21st century via an understanding of the present state of the art and future possibilities." Archives of Aesthetic Plastic Surgery **29**(2): 64-75.

5) Anderson, P., et al. (2014). "Application of three-dimensional computed tomography in craniofacial clinical practice and research." Australian dental journal **59**: 174-185.

6) Askarian, S., et al. (2023). "The genetic factors contributing to the risk of cleft lip-cleft palate and their clinical utility." Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery **27**(2): 177-186.

7) Berlin, G., et al. (2023). "Links between childhood abuse, insidious trauma, and methamphetamine use across the lifespan among gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men: A qualitative analysis." Journal of Homosexuality **70**(13): 3192-3212.

8) Bhaskar, B. and V. Nagarjuna (2021). Biomaterials, tissue engineering, and regenerative medicine: A brief outline, Springer.

9) Braddock, S. R., et al. (2020). "40th Annual David W Smith Workshop on Malformations and Morphogenesis: Abstracts of the 2019 Annual Meeting." American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A **182**(4): 877-942.

10) Chu, E., et al. (2016). "Full spectrum of postnatal tooth phenotypes in a novel Irf6 cleft lip model." Journal of Dental Research **95**(11): 1265-1273.

11) De Sabbata, K. (2020). "Dementia, treatment decisions, and the UN convention on the rights of persons with disabilities. A new framework for old problems." Frontiers in Psychiatry **11**: 571722.

12) Gatta, G., et al. (2021). "MRI in pregnancy and precision medicine: a review from literature." Journal of personalized medicine **12**(1): 9.

13) Hammedi, W., et al. (2021). "Uncovering the dark side of gamification at work: Impacts on engagement and well-being." Journal of Business Research **122**: 256-269.

14) Jacobs, Y., et al. (2021). "Task sharing or task dumping: counsellors experiences of delivering a psychosocial intervention for mental health problems in South Africa." Community Mental Health Journal **57**: 1082-1093.

15) Kakadiya, A., et al. (2017). "Recent advancements in diagnostic aids in orthodontics–A review." SM J Dent **3**(2): 1016.

16) Keels, M. A. (1991). The role of maternal cigarette smoking during pregnancy in the etiology of cleft lip with or without cleft palate, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

17) Kfuri, T. A., et al. (2008). "Medication errors in obstetrics." Clinics in perinatology **35**(1): 101-117.

18) Kulesa-Mrowiecka, M., et al. (2024). "Characteristics of Factors Influencing the Occurrence of Cleft Lip and/or Palate: A Case Analysis and Literature Review." Children **11**(4): 399.

19) Lees, M. M. (1998). Complex genetics of nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate, University of London, Institute of Child Health (United Kingdom).

20) Lesher, A. P., et al. (2020). "Development and evolution of a statewide outpatient consultation service: leveraging telemedicine to improve access to specialty care." Population health management **23**(1): 20-28.

21) Leslie, E. J. and M. L. Marazita (2013). Genetics of cleft lip and cleft palate. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part C: Seminars in Medical Genetics, Wiley Online Library.

22) Lyulcheva-Bennett, E., et al. (2023). "Towards an understanding of the aetiology, genomic landscape and management of Moebius syndrome." journal of translational genetics and genomics.

23) Manivannan, C., et al. (2022). "Calcium bioavailability in leafy vegetables and medicinal plants." International Journal of Health Sciences(II): 8802-8810.

24) Mercer, S. W., et al. (2017). "The Glasgow 'Deep End'Links Worker Study Protocol: a quasi-experimental evaluation of a social prescribing intervention for patients with complex needs in areas of high socioeconomic deprivation." Journal of comorbidity **7**(1): 1-10.

25) Mohan, B. (2012). A comparative study of unilateral cleft lip repair by modified millard's technique and triangular flap technique, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences (India).

26) Mossey, P. A. (2023). "Global perspectives in orofacial cleft management and research." British Dental Journal **234**(12): 953-957.

27) Muhamad, A.-H., et al. (2014). "Cleft lip and palate; A comprehensive review." International Journal of Basic and Applied Medical Sciences **4**(1): 338-355.

28) Nagarajan, M., et al. (2015). "Marine biotechnology: Potentials of marine microbes and algae with reference to pharmacological and commercial values." Plant Biology and Biotechnology: Volume II: Plant Genomics and Biotechnology: 685-723.

29) Ostrowsky, L. and M. CCM (2022). "CONTINUING EDUCATION ARTICLES."

30) Patel, P. B., et al. (2012). "An opportunity for diagonal development in global surgery: cleft lip and palate care in resource-limited settings." Plastic surgery international **2012**.

31) Pedde, R. D., et al. (2017). "Emerging biofabrication strategies for engineering complex tissue constructs." Advanced Materials **29**(19): 1606061.

32) Rawlins, J. T. and L. A. Opperman (2008). "Tgf-B Regulation of Suture Morphogenesis and Growth." Craniofacial Sutures **12**: 178-196.

33) Reddy, S. G. and A. Fanan (2020). "Complications in Cleft Lip and Palate Surgeries." Complications in Cranio-Maxillofacial and Oral Surgery: 3-32.

34) Rollins, M. and L. Oren (2020). Effects of nasal emission and microphone placement on nasalance score during/s. Proceedings of Meetings on Acoustics, AIP Publishing.

35) Roosenboom, J., et al. (2016). "Exploring the underlying genetics of craniofacial morphology through various sources of knowledge." BioMed research international **2016**.

36) Seibel, R. M., et al. (1997). Computed tomography-and magnetic resonance imaging: guided microtherapy. Seminars in laparoscopic surgery, Sage Publications Sage CA: Thousand Oaks, CA.

37) Shalabh, B., et al. (2022). "Recent Advanced Diagnostic Aids in Orthodontics." Cureus **14**(11).

38) Shirani, G., et al. (2015). Endoscopic Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. A Textbook of Advanced Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Volume 2, IntechOpen.

39) Southard, A. E., et al. (2012). "Role of copy number variants in structural birth defects." Pediatrics **129**(4): 755-763.

40) Subramanian, U., et al. (2018). "Marine algal secondary metabolites promising anti-angiogenesis factor against retinal neovascularization in CAM model." Res Rev AJ Life Sci **8**: 19-25.

41) Tehrani, B. N., et al. (2020). "A standardized and comprehensive approach to the management of cardiogenic shock." Heart failure **8**(11): 879-891.

42) Thenuwara, G., et al. (2023). "Advances in diagnostic tools and therapeutic approaches for gliomas: A comprehensive review." Sensors **23**(24): 9842.

43) Tolarová, M. M. and J. Cervenka (1998). "Classification and birth prevalence of orofacial clefts." American journal of medical genetics **75**(2): 126-137.

44) Umar, K. (2018). Standards of care by multidisciplinary team for cleft lip and palate patients in Malaysia (A Pilot Study)/Umar Kamali, Universiti Malaya.

45) Yilmaz, H. and A. A. Demirkaya (2020). "Orthognathic surgery in cleft lip and palate patients." Current Treatment of Cleft Lip and Palate: 5-11.

46) Zeiger, J. S. (2001). Gene-environment interaction in birth defects, The Johns Hopkins University.