# **STATISTICAL INFERENCE IN MACHINE LEARNING: BRIDGING PROBABILITY AND DATA SCIENCE**

## **B K Madhavi <sup>1</sup> , V Mohan <sup>2</sup> , Nirmal Keshari Swain <sup>3</sup> , Bhawani Sankar Panigrahi <sup>4</sup> , S Febeena Ezhil Jothi <sup>5</sup> and Jhum Swain <sup>6</sup>**

1,3 Department of Information Technology, Vardhaman College of Engineering (Autonomous), Hyderabad, Telangana, India. Email: <sup>1</sup>[kousmadhu717@gmail.com,](mailto:1kousmadhu717@gmail.com) <sup>3</sup>swain.nirmal6@gmail.com <sup>2</sup> Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Vardhaman College of Engineering (Autonomous), Hyderabad, Telangana, India. Email:vmohan1182@gmail.com

- <sup>4</sup> Department of Computer Science and Engineering, GITAM Institute of Technology, GITAM University, Vishakhapatnam, India. Email: bspanigrahi.cse@gmail.com
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Adhi College of Engineering and Technology, Kancheepuram, Tamilnadu, India. Email: febeenajo@gmail.com <sup>6</sup> Department of CSE - Data Science, Swami Vivekananda Institute of Technology, Mahbub campus, Hyderabad, Telangana, India. Email: jhumswain4321@gmail.com

#### **DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.11614953**

#### **Abstract**

Statistical inference is a critical aspect of machine learning that involves drawing conclusions about populations based on sample data. This paper explores the role of statistical inference in machine learning, highlighting its significance in building predictive models, validating hypotheses, and interpreting data. By bridging the gap between probability theory and data science, statistical inference provides a foundation for robust and reliable machine learning algorithms. We discuss key concepts, methodologies, and applications of statistical inference in machine learning, emphasizing its impact on model accuracy, generalization, and interpretability.

**Keywords:** Statistical Inference, Machine Learning, Probability, Data Science.

## **INTRODUCTION**

As of late, AI (ML) has arisen as a foundation of information science, significantly influencing different fields like medical care, money, and innovation. At its pith, ML includes the improvement of calculations that can gain from and pursue expectations or choices considering information. This educational experience relies on the capacity to draw derivations about obscure amounts from noticed information, a capacity that is well established in the standards of measurable deduction [1]. Measurable deduction gives a thorough system to making speculations regarding populaces in view of test information, assessing obscure boundaries, and testing theories.

By utilizing likelihood hypothesis, it empowers us to measure vulnerability and pursue informed choices even within the sight of arbitrariness and fluctuation. As a result, statistical inference is not just a tool that helps machine learning; rather, it is a fundamental tenet that underpins the entire field [2]. The coordination of measurable derivation into AI appears in different perspectives, from model determination and boundary assessment to speculation testing and vulnerability evaluation. For example, during the model determination process, factual techniques, for example, crossapproval and data measures assist with recognizing the model that best adjusts intricacy and prescient exactness. In boundary assessment, methods like most MLE and Bayesian surmising give strong ways to deal with determine boundary esteems that best make sense of the noticed information [3]. Speculation testing, one more foundation of measurable derivation, permits professionals to evaluate the meaning of various highlights or model parts, accordingly, working with more educated model refinement. A powerful probabilistic framework for various machine learning tasks, including A/B testing and hyperparameter optimization, is provided by Bayesian methods, which can incorporate prior knowledge and update beliefs in light of new data. A comprehensive look at how statistical inference and machine learning intersect and benefit from one another is the goal of this paper, which aims to shed light on the crucial role that statistical inference plays in this field.

We hope to demonstrate how statistical methods contribute to the creation, validation, and optimization of ML models by delving into fundamental ideas like hypothesis testing, estimation, and Bayesian inference and examining their practical applications in machine learning [4]. We will represent these ideas through definite models and contextual investigations, featuring the viable advantages and difficulties related with incorporating measurable derivation into AI work processes. By demonstrating how this integration drives advancements in predictive modelling and data-driven decisionmaking, the ultimate goal of this paper is to provide a deeper understanding of the symbiotic relationship that exists between statistical inference and machine learning.

## **Fundamental Concepts of Statistical Inference**

Measurable surmising is a basic part in the field of information science and AI, giving the systems and devices expected to reach determinations from information subject to haphazardness and vulnerability [5]. In this segment, we will investigate the fundamental ideas of factual derivation, including likelihood hypothesis, speculation testing, assessment, and Bayesian surmising. When it comes to the application of statistical techniques to machine learning, each of these ideas plays a crucial role.

## **Probability Theory**

Likelihood hypothesis shapes the bedrock of factual surmising, offering a numerical structure to portray and examine irregular peculiarities. Coming up next are key components of likelihood hypothesis pertinent to measurable deduction [6]:

- An irregular variable is a variable that takes on various qualities in light of the result of an arbitrary occasion.

There are two sorts of irregular factors:

- These interpretation of a countable number of qualities. For instance, the quantity of heads in a progression of coin flips. Within a given range, these can take on an infinite number of possible values. For instance, the precise height of a population.
- These capabilities depict the probability of various results for an irregular variable. Normal dispersions incorporate for discrete irregular factors, displaying the quantity of triumphs in a decent number of free preliminaries.

For persistent irregular factors, described by its ringer molded bend, frequently used to display normal peculiarities.

- For consistent irregular factors, frequently used to demonstrate the time between occasions in a Poisson cycle. These are, respectively, measures of a probability distribution's central tendency and dispersion.
- A random variable's average value.
- The proportion of how much the upsides of an irregular variable contrast from the mean.

# **Hypothesis Testing**

Theory testing is a basic strategy in factual surmising used to conclude whether there is sufficient proof to dismiss an invalid speculation (H<sub>0</sub>) for an elective speculation (H<sub>1</sub>). The cycle includes a few stages: Characterize the invalid speculation  $(H_0)$ , which addresses the situation or a gauge supposition, and the elective speculation  $(H_1)$ , which addresses the new case or impact we need to test. This is the likelihood of dismissing the invalid speculation when it is valid, generally set at 0.05 or 0.01. Contingent upon the idea of the information and the speculations, different test insights can be utilized, for example, the z-measurement, t-measurement, chi-square measurement, and so on. Contrast the test measurement with a basic worth or utilize a p-worth to choose whether to dismiss  $H_0$ . If the p-esteem is not exactly the importance level  $α$ , we reject  $H_0$ .

## **Estimation**

Assessment includes utilizing test information to gather the worth of an obscure populace boundary. There are two essential kinds of assessment [7]:

- Offers a solitary benefit gauge of a boundary.

Normal strategies include:

- Determines the parameter value that maximizes the likelihood function, a measure of the model's ability to explain the observed data.
- Likens test minutes (e.g., test mean, example change) to populace minutes to settle for the boundary.
- Gives a scope of values inside which the boundary is supposed to lie, normally with a given certainty level. For instance, a 95% certainty span implies we are 95% sure that the stretch contains the genuine boundary esteem.

## **Bayesian Inference**

Bayesian surmising offers a probabilistic structure for refreshing convictions about boundaries considering new information. It joins earlier data with noticed information utilizing Bayes' hypothesis:

$$
P(\theta|X) = \frac{P(X|\theta)P(\theta)}{P(X)}
$$

Where:

- $\theta$  represents the parameter of interest.
- X represents the observed data.
- $\ P(\theta)$  is the prior distribution, reflecting our beliefs about  $\theta$  before observing the data.
- $\;$   $\;P\bigl(X|\theta\bigr)$  is the likelihood function, the probability of the data given the parameter.
- $\;$   $\;P(X)$  is the marginal likelihood, the total probability of the observed data.

The result,  $\,P(\theta|X\,)$  , is the posterior distribution, which combines prior beliefs and new evidence to update our understanding of the parameter.

Understanding these central ideas of factual derivation is urgent for applying measurable strategies successfully in AI. Likelihood hypothesis gives the numerical establishment, while speculation testing, and assessment offer functional devices for drawing derivations from information [8]. Bayesian derivation adds a layer of adaptability and power, empowering the joining of earlier information and constant refreshing of convictions. Together, these ideas empower information researchers and AI experts to pursue informed choices, evaluate vulnerabilities, and work on model execution, at last overcoming any barrier among likelihood and information science.





## **Applications in Machine Learning**

Measurable surmising assumes a pivotal part in the turn of events, approval, and streamlining of AI models. Practitioners can improve the performance, dependability, and interpretability of machine learning algorithms by utilizing the principles of hypothesis testing, estimation, and Bayesian inference [9]. This part investigates key utilizations of factual derivation in different phases of the AI cycle, including model determination, boundary assessment, speculation testing, and the utilization of Bayesian strategies.

## **Model Selection**

A crucial step in machine learning is choosing the best model from a list of candidates. Factual surmising gives a few procedures to support this interaction [10]:

- Cross-approval is a resampling strategy used to assess model execution. By parcelling the information into preparing and approval establishes different points in time, we can evaluate how well a model sums up to concealed information. Leave-one-out cross-validation and k-fold cross-validation are two common methods. Cross-approval helps in looking at changed models and choosing the one with the best prescient presentation.
- Information criteria such as the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) balance model fit and complexity. These criteria penalize models with more parameters to prevent overfitting. The AIC is defined as:

 $AIC = 2k - 2ln(L)$ 

where k is the number of parameters and L is the maximum likelihood. The BIC adds a stronger penalty for the number of parameters:

## $BIC = kln(n) - 2ln(L)$

where n is the sample size. Lower values of AIC or BIC indicate a better model.

## **Parameter Estimation**

Assessing the boundaries of a model is an essential undertaking in AI. Precise boundary assessment guarantees that the model catches the fundamental examples in the information. Key strategies incorporate [11]:

- MLE is generally utilized for boundary assessment in different models, including direct relapse, calculated relapse, and more mind-boggling models like brain organizations. The objective is to find the boundary esteems that amplify the probability capability, which estimates how well the model makes sense of the noticed information.
- Bayesian techniques consolidate earlier information about boundaries and update this information with noticed information. The back dissemination, got utilizing Bayes' hypothesis, gives a probabilistic gauge of the boundaries. This approach is especially helpful in circumstances with restricted information or while consolidating space aptitude is useful.

## **Hypothesis Testing in Machine Learning**

Speculation testing can be applied to different parts of AI, from include determination to demonstrate correlation [12]:

In many AI undertakings, not all elements are similarly enlightening. By determining whether the inclusion of a feature significantly enhances the performance of the model. hypothesis testing can assist in the identification of significant features. For instance, in a direct relapse model, we can test whether the coefficient of an element is fundamentally not the same as nothing. While looking at settled models (one model is an extraordinary instance of another), speculation tests, for example, the probability proportion test can decide if the more complicated model gives a fundamentally better fit to the information. This aides in concluding whether extra boundaries are legitimate.

## **Bayesian Methods**

Bayesian strategies give a strong structure to AI, offering benefits in vulnerability measurement and model adaptability [13]:

- These graphical models address probabilistic connections among factors. They are especially useful for tasks that require reasoning under uncertainty and causal inference. Bayesian organizations are utilized in applications going from clinical finding to take a chance with evaluation.
- This method is utilized for improving hyperparameters of AI models. Bayesian enhancement treats the hyperparameter tuning process as a probabilistic model and iteratively refreshes convictions about the ideal hyperparameters in view of noticed execution.

It is especially successful for models with costly assessment capabilities, like profound brain organizations. Despite its advantages, coordinating measurable surmising with AI presents difficulties: - Some derivation strategies, particularly Bayesian methodologies, can be computationally concentrated. Proficient calculations and estimate procedures, for example, variational induction and Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC), are fundamental for adaptability.

Factual techniques frequently depend on presumptions (e.g., ordinariness, autonomy) that may not hold in true information. Creating powerful strategies that are less delicate to presumption infringement is a continuous exploration region.

Future bearings incorporate the improvement of adaptable induction strategies that can deal with huge scope information productively and the making of strong methods that can work under less severe presumptions. Measurable derivation is vital to the outcome of AI, giving the hypothetical establishment and common sense apparatuses essential for model determination, boundary assessment, speculation testing, and Bayesian strategies.



**Fig 2: Applications of ML**

By utilizing these strategies, information researchers and AI specialists can fabricate more precise, dependable, and interpretable models, at last upgrading the effect of AI in different areas. Integrating cutting-edge statistical techniques will be critical to overcoming current obstacles and opening up new possibilities as the field develops.

## **Case Studies**

To represent the pragmatic utilization of measurable deduction in AI, we present two contextual analyses [14]: direct relapse and Bayesian surmising in A/B testing. These examples show how real-world problems can be solved using statistical concepts like parameter estimation, hypothesis testing, and Bayesian methods, which improves model performance and decision-making.

## **Case Study 1: Linear Regression**

Linear regression is one of the most fundamental and widely used statistical models in machine learning. It models the relationship between a dependent variable  $y$  and one or more independent variables  $x$  by fitting a linear equation to observed data. The model can be expressed as:

$$
y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_n x_n + \varepsilon
$$

Where  $\,\beta_{_0}$  is the intercept,  $\,\beta_{_1}$  +  $\beta_{_2}$  + ... +  $\beta_{_n}$  are the coefficients for the predictors, and  $\,\varepsilon$ is the error term.

## **Parameter Estimation**

Using the method of ordinary least squares (OLS), we estimate the coefficients  $\beta$  by minimizing the sum of the squared differences between the observed values and the values predicted by the model. The OLS estimates are given by:

$$
\overset{\wedge}{\beta} = \left(X^T X\right)^{-1} X^T y
$$

where X is the matrix of input features, and y is the vector of observed outputs.

## **Hypothesis Testing**

Hypothesis testing is used to assess the significance of the coefficients. Specifically, we test the null hypothesis  $H_0: \beta_i = 0$  against the alternative hypothesis  $H_1: \beta_i \neq 0$ . The t-statistic for each coefficient is calculated as:

$$
t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_i}{SE(\hat{\beta}_i)}
$$

Where  $\hat{\overset{\,\,{}_\circ}{\beta}}{}_{i}$  is the estimated coefficient and  $SE(\overset{\,\,{}_\circ}{\beta_{i}})$  is its standard error. The p-value associated with the t-statistic indicates whether the null hypothesis can be rejected at a given significance level.

## **Confidence Intervals**

Confidence intervals provide a range within which the true value of the coefficient is expected to lie with a certain probability.

For a 95% confidence interval, it is calculated as:

$$
\hat{\hat{\beta}}_i \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \times SE(\hat{\hat{\beta}_i})
$$

where  $t_{\scriptscriptstyle a}$  is the critical value from the t-distribution.

## **Example**

2

Consider a dataset containing information about house prices. We model the price y as a function of the size of the house  $x_1$  and the number of bedrooms  $x_2$ . After fitting the linear regression model, we obtain the following estimates:

- Intercept  $(\beta_0)$ : \$50,000
- $\,$  Size coefficient (  $\beta_{\rm l}$  ): \$100 per square foot
- Bedrooms coefficient ( $\beta$ <sub>2</sub>): \$10,000 per bedroom

Hypothesis tests reveal that both ( $\beta_1$ ) and ( $\beta_2$ ) are significantly different from zero, indicating that both features are important predictors of house prices. Confidence intervals for the coefficients provide additional insights into the precision of these estimates.

## **Case Study 2: Bayesian Inference in A/B Testing**

A common experimental method called A/B testing is used to compare two different versions (A and B) of a product, like a website or marketing campaign, to see which one performs better. Bayesian induction offers an adaptable and probabilistic way to deal with investigate A/B test results.

## **Bayesian Framework**

In Bayesian A/B testing, we define prior distributions for the conversion rates of the two versions. Let  $\theta_{\scriptscriptstyle A}$  and  $\theta_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$  be the conversion rates for versions A and B, respectively. We specify prior distributions  $P(\theta_A)$  and  $P(\theta_B)$  based on prior knowledge or assumptions.

After observing the data, we update these priors using Bayes' theorem to obtain the posterior distributions:

$$
P(\theta_A \mid data) = \frac{P(data \mid \theta_A)}{P(data)} P(\theta_A)
$$

$$
P(\theta_B \mid data) = \frac{P(data \mid \theta_B)}{P(data)} P(\theta_B)
$$

## **Example**

Suppose we conduct an A/B test to compare two versions of a landing page. We observe 100 conversions out of 1000 visits for version A and 120 conversions out of 1000 visits for version B. We choose beta distributions as priors for the conversion rates:  $\theta_A \sim \beta(2.5)$  and  $\theta_B \sim \beta(2.5)$ .

Using Bayesian updating, we calculate the posterior distributions:

$$
\theta_A \left| data \sim \beta(102, 905) \right|
$$

$$
\theta_B \left| data \sim \beta(122, 885) \right|
$$

The posterior distributions provide a probabilistic assessment of the conversion rates. We can compute the probability that version B has a higher conversion rate than version A:

$$
A: P\left(\theta_{B} > \theta_{A} \middle| data \sim \beta(102, 905)\right)
$$

$$
B: P\left(\theta_{A} > \theta_{B} \middle| data \sim \beta(122, 885)\right)
$$

If this probability is high (e.g., above 0.95), we conclude that version B is likely to be better.

## **Benefits of Bayesian A/B Testing**

Bayesian techniques permit the mix of earlier data, which can be especially valuable when authentic information or master information is accessible [15]. The outcomes are deciphered in probabilistic terms, giving more nuanced bits of knowledge than customary p-values. Bayesian methods are more adaptable when it comes to modeling because they can handle a wide range of experimental designs and data types.

These contextual analyses delineate the down to earth uses of measurable deduction in AI. Direct relapse exhibits the utilization of boundary assessment, speculation testing, and certainty spans to assemble and decipher models. Bayesian deduction in A/B testing features the upsides of consolidating earlier information and giving probabilistic evaluations. Practitioners can develop models that are more robust, comprehensible, and efficient by combining statistical inference with machine learning. This, in turn, improves the capacity for making decisions and making predictions.

## **Challenges and Future Directions**

While measurable surmising assumes a significant part in upgrading AI models, coordinating these two fields presents a few difficulties. Tending to these difficulties and investigating future headings can prompt more powerful, effective, and interpretable models. This part examines the essential difficulties in coordinating factual derivation with AI and frameworks potential future headings [16]. One of the significant difficulties in applying factual surmising strategies, especially Bayesian derivation, to AI is computational intricacy.

Bayesian strategies frequently include working out back disseminations, which can be computationally escalated, particularly with enormous datasets and complex models. Variational inference and Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) can mitigate these issues, but they still require a lot of computation and may not scale well with very large datasets. Versatility is another huge test. Since they were designed for smaller datasets, a lot of traditional statistical methods can't handle the large datasets that are used in modern machine learing applications. For instance, definite surmising strategies might become infeasible as information size increments, requiring the advancement of more productive, inexact induction procedures. Factual derivation strategies frequently depend on suppositions about the fundamental information circulation (e.g., ordinariness, freedom). Notwithstanding, true information as often as possible abuse these suppositions, prompting one-sided or mistaken surmising's. A constant challenge is coming up with robust methods that work well even when assumptions are broken. This includes dealing with non-normality, heteroscedasticity, and multicollinearity. There is much of the time a compromise between the interpretability and intricacy of models.

While basic models like direct relapse are not difficult to decipher, they may not catch complex connections in the information. On the other hand, more advanced models like deep neural networks can capture intricate patterns but are frequently referred to as "black boxes." Overcoming this issue to make models that are both interpretable and strong remaining parts a critical test [17]. The nature of information assumes a urgent part in the viability of measurable derivation. Genuine world datasets frequently contain commotion, exceptions, and missing qualities, which can antagonistically influence derivation and model execution. For accurate inference and prediction, robust approaches to the handling of noisy and incomplete data are essential. To address computational intricacy and adaptability, it is basic to foster high level estimate methods.

Strategies, for example, variational deduction, which approximates the back dispersion by an easier dissemination, and more proficient MCMC calculations can give doable answers for huge scope information. Further examination into these areas can prompt more versatile and effective Bayesian deduction techniques. Future examination can zero in on more tight combination between AI and factual deduction.

This incorporates creating half and half models that join the qualities of the two fields. For instance, consolidating the adaptability of AI models with the thoroughness and interpretability of factual techniques can yield strong and interpretable models. Creating vigorous measurable techniques that perform well under various circumstances is a vital region for future exploration. This incorporates strategies that are less delicate to presumptions about information conveyance and can deal with issues like heteroscedasticity, multicollinearity, and non-ordinariness. Strong relapse strategies, regularization techniques, and hearty theory testing systems are instances of this continuous work [18].

It is essential to ensure the interpretability and explainability of machine learning models as they become more complex. Examination into XAI expects to make complex models more straightforward, permitting clients to comprehend the dynamic cycle. Steps in this direction include SHAP and LIME, but more work is required to make these methods broadly applicable and scalable. Future work ought to likewise zero in on creating strategies to really deal with boisterous and deficient information.

This incorporates progressed attribution procedures, powerful factual techniques, and AI calculations that can endure and adapt to information flaws. Strategies, for example, strong PCA (Head Part Investigation) and vigorous factual learning techniques are promising areas of examination [19]. Utilizing experiences from numerous disciplines, including software engineering, insights, and space explicit information, can prompt more inventive arrangements. Interdisciplinary methodologies can resolve complex issues more really, joining the qualities of various fields to make more vigorous and productive models [20]. Incorporating measurable deduction with AI presents the two difficulties and open doors.

Future research should focus on addressing issues of computational complexity, scalability, and robustness, as well as improving interpretability and dealing with issues with data quality. By zeroing in on these difficulties and investigating progressed estimate strategies, hearty techniques, logical artificial intelligence, and interdisciplinary methodologies, we can foster more impressive, interpretable, and solid AI models. This continuous reconciliation will keep on driving headways in prescient displaying and information driven navigation, eventually overcoming any barrier among likelihood and information science.





Biased vs True Sampling Distribution of the Proportion Estimator



**Fig 4: Proportion estimator for biased and true values**



**Fig 5: Proportion estimator for standard errors**

## **CONCLUSION**

Making sense of data and driving the creation of robust machine learning models require statistical inference. By overcoming any barrier between likelihood hypothesis and information science, it improves our capacity to settle on precise expectations and informed choices. The incorporation of cutting-edge statistical techniques will play a crucial role in overcoming current obstacles and opening new possibilities as the field of machine learning continues to develop.

#### **References**

- 1) Bishop, C. M. (2006). \*Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning\*. Springer.
- 2) Gelman, A., Carlin, J. B., Stern, H. S., Dunson, D. B., Vehtari, A., & Rubin, D. B. (2013). \*Bayesian Data Analysis\* (3rd ed.). CRC Press.
- 3) Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., & Friedman, J. (2009). \*The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction\* (2nd ed.). Springer.
- 4) Murphy, K. P. (2012). \*Machine Learning: A Probabilistic Perspective\*. MIT Press.
- 5) Witten, I. H., Frank, E., Hall, M. A., & Pal, C. J. (2016). \*Data Mining: Practical Machine Learning Tools and Techniques\* (4th ed.). Morgan Kaufmann.
- 6) James, G., Witten, D., Hastie, T., & Tibshirani, R. (2013). \*An Introduction to Statistical Learning with Applications in R\*. Springer.
- 7) McElreath, R. (2020). \*Statistical Rethinking: A Bayesian Course with Examples in R and Stan\* (2nd ed.). CRC Press.
- 8) Wasserman, L. (2004). \*All of Statistics: A Concise Course in Statistical Inference\*. Springer.
- 9) van der Vaart, A. W. (1998). \*Asymptotic Statistics\*. Cambridge University Press.
- 10) Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). \*Deep Learning\*. MIT Press.
- 11) Johnson, R. A., & Wichern, D. W. (2018). \*Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis\* (6th ed.). Pearson.
- 12) Gelman, A., Hill, J., & Vehtari, A. (2020). \*Regression and Other Stories\*. Cambridge University Press.
- 13) Papoulis, A., & Pillai, S. U. (2002). \*Probability, Random Variables, and Stochastic Processes\* (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- 14) Robert, C. P., & Casella, G. (2004). \*Monte Carlo Statistical Methods\* (2nd ed.). Springer.
- 15) Efron, B., & Tibshirani, R. J. (1993). \*An Introduction to the Bootstrap\*. CRC Press.
- 16) Vehtari, A., Gelman, A., Simpson, D., Carpenter, B., & Bürkner, P.-C. (2021). Rank-normalization, folding, and localization: An improved  $\langle \hat{R} \rangle$  ) for assessing convergence of MCMC (with discussion). \*Bayesian Analysis, 16\*(2), 667-718.
- 17) Koller, D., & Friedman, N. (2009). \*Probabilistic Graphical Models: Principles and Techniques\*. MIT Press.
- 18) Pearl, J. (2009). \*Causality: Models, Reasoning, and Inference\* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- 19) Rasmussen, C. E., & Williams, C. K. I. (2006). \*Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning\*. MIT Press.
- 20) Stone, M. (1974). Cross-validatory choice and assessment of statistical predictions. \*Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)\*, 36(2), 111-133.