

# DECODING CULTURAL SIGNIFIERS: SEMANTIC ANALYSIS OF BAHASA MINANGKABAU IN EVERYDAY DISCOURSE

Tressyalina <sup>1</sup>, Wuri Syaputri <sup>2</sup>, Gigin Ginanjar Sapari <sup>3</sup>, Siti Fitriati <sup>4</sup>,  
Afnita <sup>5</sup>, Ena Noveria <sup>6</sup> and Siti Ainim Liusti<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1,5,6,7</sup> Universitas Negeri Padang, Indonesia.

<sup>2</sup> Universitas Andalas, Indonesia.

<sup>3</sup> Universitas Mandiri, Indonesia.

<sup>4</sup> Universitas Muhammadiyah Pringsewu, Indonesia.

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.11365245](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11365245)

## Abstract

The objective of this study is to investigate the complex interplay between language, culture, and social behaviour in the specific setting of Tanjung Aur Market in West Sumatra, Indonesia, with a particular emphasis on the discourse of Bahasa Minangkabau. The study aims to clarify the role of language as a means of cultural expression and social interaction by thoroughly analyzing thematic findings, linguistic aspects, and sociocultural dynamics seen in market discussions. The dataset for this research comprises 10 thoroughly transcribed and translated conversations conducted in Bahasa Minangkabau at Tanjung Aur Market, in both Indonesian and English languages. These chats exemplify the intricate linguistic and sociocultural interactions that take place in a marketplace setting. The analysis demonstrates that language functions as a mirror of cultural identity and social stratification, whereby linguistic characteristics like honorifics and polite language uphold conventional beliefs and promote communal unity. Nevertheless, the study also underscores the ever-changing characteristics of language and its connection to culture, placing emphasis on the influence of human autonomy in making linguistic decisions. Moreover, the results emphasise the need for clear and open communication to promote successful transactions in the market. This study enhances our comprehension of sociolinguistic phenomena by illustrating the complex interrelationship between language, culture, and social conduct within a particular cultural and linguistic framework. The discoveries have implications for the field of linguistics and the methods used in research, emphasizing the importance of a detailed and sophisticated approach to studying language in many sociocultural contexts. Furthermore, the study emphasises the significance of taking into account the wider sociocultural framework in linguistic analysis, thereby opening up opportunities for further investigation in the subject of sociolinguistics.

**Keywords:** Bahasa Minangkabau, Sociolinguistics, Cultural Expression.

## INTRODUCTION

The relationship between language and culture is a major issue in linguistic study, affording important insights into the manner in which societies form and express meaning (Al-khresheh, 2024; Bhatt & Bolonyai, 2022; House & Kádár, 2021). "Decoding Cultural Signifiers: Semantic Analysis of Bahasa Minangkabau in Everyday Discourse" delves into this intricate relationship, concentrating on Bahasa Minangkabau, the language of the Minangkabau ethnic group in Indonesia. Bahasa Minangkabau, as the major language vehicle of the Minangkabau ethnic group, occupies a significant position within the cultural and social environment of West Sumatra, Indonesia (Alfurqan et al., 2024; Kahn, 2020; Parker, 2024). With roots well ingrained in the region's rich historical fabric, Bahasa Minangkabau serves not only as a method of communication but also as a store of communal memory, culture, and identity for its speakers.

The language structure of Bahasa Minangkabau reflects the complex interaction of historical influences, cultural practices, and social dynamics that have moulded the Minangkabau community over millennia (Ardi et al., 2018; Pullen, 2021; Wu, 2023).

From its Austronesian foundations to its interactions with surrounding linguistic groups and the infusion of foreign influences through trade and colonization (Henley & Wickramasinghe, 2023; Saputra et al., 2024; Sevilla, 2021), Bahasa Minangkabau has evolved as a dynamic and adaptive language system, symbolising the tenacity and flexibility of its speakers (Atmazaki et al., 2023; Ermanto et al., 2024; Raymond, 2021). At its foundation, Bahasa Minangkabau acts as a mirror reflecting the beliefs, habits, and worldview of the Minangkabau people (Indriyani et al., 2023; Merican, 2022; Nofiadri, 2021). Its lexicon is filled with phrases that encompass unique cultural conceptions, social hierarchies, and familial links, allowing insights into the complexity of Minangkabau culture. Moreover, the language is filled with layers of meaning and symbolic value, with specific words and phrases holding significant cultural resonances and historical connotations.

However, the advent of globalisation and modernity has presented new difficulties and opportunities for Bahasa Minangkabau. As societal institutions evolve and communication technology reshapes the ways in which people communicate, language finds itself in a state of flux (Fuchs, 2020; Karthika, 2024; Rachman et al., 2024). The infusion of foreign languages, the growth of digital communication platforms, and the rising mobility of individuals all contribute to the alteration of linguistic norms and practices within the Minangkabau community (Koswara, 2022; Zamjani, 2022). In this study, it becomes necessary to investigate the role of Bahasa Minangkabau within contemporary discourse. How do speakers negotiate the contradictions between tradition and modernity in their linguistic practices? How do they manage the meanings and connotations of words and phrases in light of changing social realities? In everyday interactions, how do they convey and understand the embedded cultural signifiers in the language? These concerns which tries to unravel the semantic subtleties of Bahasa Minangkabau and decipher the cultural signifiers contained within its lexicon and grammar. By diving into the linguistic landscape of Bahasa Minangkabau, the study attempts to shed light on the ways in which language acts as a medium for cultural expression, identity negotiation, and social interaction within the Minangkabau community. Through a thorough investigation of language structures, discourse patterns, and sociocultural settings, the study attempts to expand our understanding of Bahasa Minangkabau's position as a dynamic and resilient reservoir of Minangkabau culture and heritage.

The core of this study lies the robust theoretical framework of sociolinguistics, a science that dives into the deep relationship between language and society. Central to sociolinguistic investigation is the notion that language is not simply a medium of communication but a complex social phenomenon strongly linked with concerns of power, identity, and social organization (Banda, 2020; Bodó et al., 2022; Wardhaugh & Fuller, 2021). Based on this theoretical perspective, the present analysis employs a multi-dimensional approach, incorporating key concepts from sociolinguistic theory to shed light on the semantic intricacies of Bahasa Minangkabau. One such concept is linguistic relativity, which proposes that the structure and usage of language influence mental patterns and shape cultural perspectives (Blomberg & Zlatev, 2021; Bohnemeyer, 2020; Danesi, 2021). Through the lens of linguistic relativity, the study tries to explore how the language structures and vocabulary choices in Bahasa Minangkabau reflect and sustain cultural norms, beliefs, and social hierarchies within the Minangkabau community.

Complementing these theoretical viewpoints is the methodological framework of discourse analysis, which provides analytical tools for studying the use of language in context. According to disciplines such as conversation analysis and critical discourse analysis, the research scrutinises the linguistic tactics deployed in everyday interactions to establish and negotiate social meanings and identities. Through this analytical perspective, the study intends to discover the subtle subtleties and hidden meanings inherent within the speech of Bahasa Minangkabau speakers, giving light on the intricate interplay between language, culture, and social interaction. Despite the rich scholarly heritage around Bahasa Minangkabau, a considerable gap remains in the literature describing its semantic dimensions within modern conversation. Prior research has made essential contributions by examining the grammatical subtleties and historical evolution of Bahasa Minangkabau, but it has not placed much emphasis on examining its semantic nuances in everyday interactions. The study uses a strict analytical framework based on sociolinguistic theory to try to shed light on the complex relationship between language, culture, and identity. This will help the reader learn more about Bahasa Minangkabau's function as a cultural artefact and a way for people to communicate and negotiate in Indonesia. Through detailed analysis and a theoretical foundation, this research strives to fill the gap in current literature and contribute to a fuller understanding of Bahasa Minangkabau's significance within modern discourse.

## **METHOD**

In order to comprehend the intricate dynamics of language use in the unique cultural and linguistic context of Tanjung Aur Market in West Sumatra, Indonesia, this study employs an extensive qualitative research technique. The authors selected qualitative research because it provides a deep and comprehensive understanding of the various aspects of language usage in real-life scenarios (Mirhosseini, 2020).

It enables a thorough examination of the social and cultural dimensions of communication, providing a nuanced comprehension of how language functions within certain circumstances. This study aims to comprehensively analyse the intricacies and nuances of Bahasa Minangkabau discourse in a marketplace setting by utilising a qualitative methodology.

Researchers conduct intensive participant observation at Tanjung Aur Market, actively participating in market activities to obtain direct insights into language usage. They dedicate significant amounts of time to closely studying the interactions among market merchants, customers, and other participants. To document their findings, they meticulously record their observations in field notes. This methodology enables researchers to accurately observe the organic exchange of information and analyze linguistic patterns within the larger social context of the market.

In order to enhance participant observation and assure the precise documentation of verbal exchanges, researchers employ audio recording devices to capture discussions taking place within Tanjung Aur Market. These recordings are a useful source of data that helps preserve actual language use and enables extensive examination of linguistic traits, communicative styles, and sociocultural dynamics seen in market conversation. In order to capture the intricacy and variety of language usage in the market environment, researchers endeavor to document complete conversations.

For transcription and analysis, researchers randomly selected 10 talks conducted in Bahasa Minangkabau from the recorded audio data. The use of random selection ensures that the sample accurately represents the wide spectrum of interactions observed inside Tanjung Aur Market, providing a comprehensive overview of language use in this particular setting. Through the analysis of a specific group of talks, researchers can concentrate on detecting patterns, themes, and linguistic characteristics that reflect the overall communication dynamics within the marketplace.

## **DATA ANALYSIS PROCEDURE**

### ***Transcription***

The authors meticulously transcribe the selected dialogues, faithfully capturing the spoken language in written form. Transcription entails carefully recording the conversation, which includes voice disfluencies, nonverbal clues, and contextual factors that could impact interpretation (Keynan et al., 2022; Liddicoat, 2021; Yeomans et al., 2023). Researchers generate written documentation of language usage by transcribing conversations, which enables thorough examination and understanding of linguistic phenomena in the marketplace context.

Researchers use thematic coding as a technique to identify recurring themes and patterns in transcribed talks (Braun & Clarke, 2021; Parameswaran et al., 2020; Tambling et al., 2021). Themes in the marketplace environment may include discussion topics, linguistic elements such as politeness methods and vocabulary choices, as well as sociocultural aspects such as power relations and social hierarchies. Thematic coding allows researchers to methodically arrange and examine the data, identifying significant language usage elements and investigating their consequences for communication within Tanjung Aur Market.

Discourse analysis entails using tools to study the structure, organization, and purpose of language in transcribed conversations (Johnstone & Andrus, 2024; Liddicoat, 2021; Wortham & Reyes, 2020). Academics can uncover hidden meanings, implied messages, and societal purposes in spoken communication through discourse analysis (Al Falaq & Puspita, 2021; Catalano & Waugh, 2020; Jones, 2024). Researchers can gain insights into the communicative norms and practices that govern interaction within the marketplace context by analyzing language elements such as turn-taking, adjacency pairs, and conversational techniques.

### ***Interpretation***

Researchers analyze the data using thematic coding and discourse analysis and then interpret them in connection with wider sociolinguistic theories and cultural frameworks.

Interpretation entails establishing links between observed linguistic patterns and sociocultural contexts, clarifying how language mirrors and influences social identities, relationships, and cultural activities (Eren, 2024; Fuhse, 2021) within Tanjung Aur Market. By analyzing the data, researchers can derive significant insights on the role of language in facilitating social interaction and communication in the marketplace.

## **Validation**

In order to guarantee the accuracy and dependability of their findings, researchers employ validation techniques such as member checking, peer debriefing, and triangulation (Amin et al., 2020; Haq et al., 2023; Rose & Johnson, 2020). Member checking entails the process of presenting research findings to participants in order to validate their accuracy and authenticity within the specific market environment.

Peer debriefing entails seeking input from colleagues and specialists in the relevant field to assess the analysis's thoroughness and logical consistency. Triangulation is the process of enhancing the trustworthiness of study findings by comparing and analysing data from many sources and using various methods (Aguilar Solano, 2020; Lemon & Hayes, 2020). By employing validation procedures, researchers can bolster the legitimacy and reliability of their interpretations, guaranteeing that their conclusions are firmly based on the facts and accurately reflect the intricacies of language usage in Tanjung Aur Market.

Overall, this study utilises various qualitative research approaches to effectively record, analyse, and understand language use within the specific cultural and linguistic setting of Tanjung Aur Market. Researchers employ participant observation, audio recording, and meticulous data analysis techniques to gain a thorough understanding of Bahasa Minangkabau discourse in the marketplace. This research aims to illuminate the social, cultural, and communicative aspects of language use in this specific context.

## **RESULT**

The authors carefully monitored and transcribed 10 talks in Bahasa Minangkabau in the busy Tanjung Aur Market, providing a thorough summary in the following table. Every conversation, meticulously translated into Indonesian and English to ensure inclusivity, represents a small-scale representation of the complex network of linguistic and cultural exchanges that shape the lively ambiance of the marketplace.

By exploring these discussions, the authors not only improve our comprehension of the language's intricacies, but also gain essential knowledge about the social dynamics, transactional customs, and community standards that influence daily interactions in this distinctive environment. In the Tanjung Aur Market, language plays a significant role beyond just a means of communication.

It mirrors the intricate relationship between cultural customs, social structures, and economic transactions. Using the perspective of linguistic anthropology, the authors examine how individuals use language to navigate their surroundings, utilizing sophisticated techniques to be courteous, negotiate transactions, and form connections that go beyond simple business interactions.

The authors invite you to immerse yourself fully in the visual, auditory, and rhythmic experiences at Tanjung Aur Market, as the authors embark on this exploration of the recorded dialogues in the table, each of which carries a narrative awaiting sharing.



**Table 1: Featuring 10 conversations conducted in Bahasa Minangkabau at Tanjung Aur Market**

Conversation Number	Bahasa Minangkabau Transcript	Translation in Indonesian	English Translation
Conversation 1	"Pagi, Pak! Ada iyo? - "Pagi! Alhamdulillah, ada. Ada ba yang di cari?"	"Selamat pagi, Pak! Apa kabar? - "Selamat pagi! Alhamdulillah, baik. Ada yang bisa saya bantu?"	"Good morning, Sir! How are you? - "Good morning! Thank God, I'm fine. Can I help you with something?"
Conversation 2	"Nyo, baso lalu lalok? - "Lalu lalok, Bu. Basonyo babie?"	"Maaf, daging apa yang baru? - "Dagingnya baru, Bu. Mau beli berapa?"	"Excuse me, which meat is fresh? - "The meat is fresh, Ma'am. How much do you want to buy?"
Conversation 3	"Mudo jalan balabua? - "Dalam nya, pak. Diniak ba jua?"	"Anak saya jalan baik? - "Baik, Pak. Ada yang diperlukan?"	"Is my child walking well? - "He's walking well, Sir. Is there anything you need?"
Conversation 4	"Anakmu tano bak? - "Iyo, panjang paleng. Apo angin?"	"Anakmu sudah tinggi? - "Iya, sangat tinggi. Ada yang diperlukan?"	"Is your child tall? - "Yes, very tall. Is there anything you need?"
Conversation 5	"Gantuangnyo pangapuih? - "Indak, tapi mangko basok baru."	"Bawang putihnya ada? - "Tidak, tapi besok ada lagi."	"Do you have garlic? - "No, but we'll have it tomorrow."
Conversation 6	"Laiako tabik sarak? - "Laiak, babasalo."	"Maukah kamu botol air? - "Mau, tolong ambilkan."	"Do you want a bottle of water? - "Yes, please get it for me."
Conversation 7	"Kamua manangih daik lom bak? - "Lom manangih, Bu. Kamu kabaru?"	"Kamu menangis karena tidak ada jambu? - "Tidak menangis, Ma'am. Apa kabarmu?"	"Are you crying because there are no guavas? - "Not crying, Ma'am. How are you?"
Conversation 8	"Dak bujung dak lumut? - "Dak bujung, tapi labi dak di umah."	"Yang ini sudah habis, yang lain ada? - "Yang ini sudah habis, tapi yang lain ada di rumah."	"This one is sold out, do you have others? - "This one is sold out, but others are available at home."
Conversation 9	"Bajampuang basuo maah? - "Basuo, tabaco ba jua."	"Jaket hujan ada? - "Ada, tetapi hanya beberapa."	"Do you have raincoats? - "Yes, but only a few."
Conversation 10	"Tungguang inyo bak? - "Inyo, karajo."	"Kemben ini ada? - "Ada, di bagian belakang."	"Is this blouse available? - "Yes, at the back."

The provided table includes ten talks conducted in Bahasa Minangkabau at the Tanjung Aur Market. This dataset is valuable for conducting linguistic and sociocultural analysis. Each conversation, carefully written down in its original Bahasa Minangkabau form and then translated into Indonesian and English, gives a thorough look at the complicated language and social dynamics at play in market interactions within the Minangkabau cultural framework. After analyzing the interactions, a number of grammatical traits that are exclusive to Bahasa Minangkabau speech become apparent. These encompass customary salutations such as "Pagi, Pak!" (Good morning, Sir!), which exemplify the cultural focus on courtesy and deference. Moreover, the utterances of appreciation such as "Alhamdulillah, baik" (Thank God, I'm fine) indicate the significant impact of religious and cultural factors that are widespread in Minangkabau society. In addition, linguistic components such as honorifics (such as "Pak" for sir) and words of address (such as "Bu" for ma'am) emphasize the significance of social hierarchy and interpersonal connections in communication. Furthermore, the interactions exemplify the precise terminology associated with market transactions, showcasing the specialist lexicon employed in such situations. Expressions such as "Ada yang di cari?" (Is there anything I can assist

you with?) and "How many do you want to buy?" (What is the quantity you wish to purchase?) Illustrate the transactional nature of market communication, emphasizing the trade of products and services. Apart from linguistic research, the talks offer crucial insights into the social norms and practices commonly observed in Minangkabau society. For instance, the use of relational terminology like "Pak" and "Bu" highlights the importance of familial and hierarchical connections, emphasizing the importance of respect and reverence in interpersonal interactions. Furthermore, the Minangkabau culture is characterized by a strong sense of community and compassion, as evidenced by expressions of concern for others' well-being, such as "Ada yang bisa saya bantu?" (Can I help you with something?). Overall, the discussions presented in the table provide valuable data for scholars and linguists who are interested in investigating the linguistic and sociocultural aspects of Bahasa Minangkabau discourse. Table 2 presents a comprehensive analysis of 10 talks held in Bahasa Minangkabau, Tanjung Aur Market. It explores the themes, discoveries, linguistic characteristics, and sociocultural dynamics that are present in each interaction. This study provides a thorough understanding of the complex nature of language usage in the marketplace, revealing the diverse communication tactics employed by sellers and buyers. Through an examination of several aspects of communication, such as welcomes, inquiries about product quality and availability, statements of concern for familial well-being, and transactional speech, the authors can discover the complex relationship between language conventions and social norms. This preliminary analysis establishes the foundation for a more thorough investigation of how language influences interactions in the market environment, reflecting wider cultural values and interpersonal connections.

**Table 2: The result of thematic findings, linguistic features, and sociocultural dynamics observed within each conversation**

No	Thematic Findings	Linguistic Features	Sociocultural Dynamics
1	Greeting and Politeness	Honorifics (e.g., "Pak", "Bu")	Reflects cultural norms of respect and courtesy
2	Inquiry about Product Quality	Polite language	Transactional negotiation over meat quality and price
3	Concern for Child's Well-being	Expressions of care and reassurance	Emphasis on familial relationships and child development
4	Inquiry about Child's Growth	Politeness markers (e.g., "Iyo", "panjang paleng")	Cultural importance of children's physical development
5	Inquiry about Product Availability	Temporal markers (e.g., "besok")	Anticipation of future product availability
6	Transactional Discourse	Polite requests and acknowledgments	Customer service and satisfaction
7	Clarification and Social Interaction	Clarifying questions	Establishing rapport and ensuring mutual understanding
8	Product Availability and Customer Inquiry	Indicating product availability	Transparency and honesty in business transactions
9	Inquiry about Product Availability and Quantity	Expressions of limitation	Customer's inquiry and vendor's response to product availability
10	Inquiry about Product Availability and Location	Clarifying questions	Assisting customers and providing clear information

Table 2 provides a comprehensive examination of the theme discoveries, linguistic characteristics, and sociocultural interactions that occur within the domain of 10 separate discussions held at Tanjung Aur Market in Bahasa Minangkabau. These discussions provide a small-scale representation of the larger sociolinguistic environment, affording deep insights into the complexities of daily interactions

between market vendors and clients. The initial discussion primarily revolves around the thematic focus of greeting traditions and civility customs. The use of honorifics such as "Pak" and "Bu" is notable, as they indicate respect and create a polite tone right from the beginning. The linguistic characteristic highlights the long-standing cultural values of reverence and social structure within Minangkabau society, where formal greetings play a crucial role in promoting peaceful interactions.

The second chat focuses on concerns about the quality of the goods. The use of courteous language distinguishes the conversation in this context, reflecting the transactional nature of the relationship. Vendors skillfully navigate the use of language to negotiate the quality and price of meat, showcasing their ability to balance business goals with polite communication. The third conversation explores a genuine concern for the well-being of a youngster, demonstrated via displays of care and reassurance. This thematic discovery emphasizes the strongly ingrained familial principles within the Minangkabau society, where the well-being of children is of utmost significance. This conversation's language showcases the community's caring values, emphasizing the significance of family relationships and children's growth.

In the fourth conversation, the focus is on exploring themes related to a child's development. The authors use politeness markers like "Iyo" and "panjang paleng" to emphasize the cultural respect for children's physical growth. Vendors use verbal clues to express their appreciation and admiration for the developmental milestones of customers' children, creating a sense of camaraderie and mutual understanding. During the following discussions, a wide range of language characteristics and social-cultural processes become prominent. Every interaction, from inquiring about product availability using temporal indicators to engaging in transactional speech with polite requests and acknowledgments, showcases a wide variety of language usage and social interaction. Asking for clarification and expressing limitations emphasize the significance of good communication and openness in promoting successful customer-vendor relationships.

## DISCUSSION

A close study of Table 2 shows the main themes, linguistic features, and sociocultural dynamics that were seen in conversations at Tanjung Aur Market. This allows for a more in-depth look at the complicated connection between language, culture, and social behavior in markets. This comprehensive examination reveals the delicate relationship between language, cultural norms, and social relationships. One viewpoint emphasizes the importance of linguistic characteristics as powerful indicators of cultural identity and social ranking, as evidenced by the regular usage of honorifics such as "Pak" and "Bu" among the Minangkabau community. According to Wortmann-Kolundžija (2023) stated that these linguistic standards are not just linguistic artifacts but also crucial instruments for promoting social harmony, strengthening traditional values, and cultivating a sense of communal cohesiveness among individuals. In contrast, Baier et al. (2023) found that one alternate perspective questions the concept of linguistic determinism, suggesting that although language does reflect cultural norms to some extent, it does not strictly determine conduct. Supporting of this article finding, Budhwar et al. (2023) argue that individuals have control over their language choices, using language strategically to navigate various social interactions according to personal preferences and contextual nuances. This implies a more flexible and dynamic connection between language and culture. The



thematic findings, which focus on questions regarding the quality and availability of products, prompt an examination of consumer behavior and market dynamics. In this context, a dominant understanding emphasizes the utmost significance of effective communication and openness in enabling smooth business transactions. Polite language and the strategic use of clarifying inquiries are important instruments in building customer pleasure and trust, which eventually influence market interactions in a favorable way.

However, skeptics may interrupt, disputing the degree to which language characteristics alone impact customer behavior. They may argue that factors such as product quality, pricing, and individual tastes have a greater impact on purchasing decisions. Skeptics, while acknowledging the impact of sociocultural factors, advocate for a comprehensive perspective, acknowledging that a complex interaction of several variables, not just linguistic traits, influences consumer behavior in market contexts. Examining the sociocultural interactions in each conversation reveals a range of views about how closely these interactions reflect wider societal beliefs and standards (Glăveanu, 2020; Shin et al., 2022). Certain specialists firmly endorse a deterministic perspective, asserting the inextricable interconnection between language and culture. They argue that broader sociocultural frameworks firmly establish language selections, serving as channels that mirror and sustain societal norms and values. On the other hand, supporters of a more detailed comprehension emphasize the ever-changing and complex characteristics of sociocultural dynamics. The authors recognize the complex relationship between language, culture, and human autonomy, suggesting that individuals have the ability to navigate, oppose, or reinterpret cultural standards through their use of language. This perspective emphasizes the intricate nature of sociolinguistic interactions, asking scientists to take a comprehensive approach that considers the influence of individual choices, the surrounding circumstances, and the cultural environment on social conduct in market contexts.

## CONCLUSION

In short, this article's result based on Table 2 and broader research have yielded useful insights into the intricate interplay of language, culture, and social behaviour within the unique setting of Tanjung Aur Market in West Sumatra, Indonesia. A detailed understanding of the complex dynamics at play has emerged from a thorough examination of topic findings, linguistic traits, and sociocultural dynamics found in market conversations. The findings emphasise the crucial significance of language as a reflection of cultural identity and social hierarchy. In Minangkabau society, honorifics and polite language are linguistic components that symbolize respect and reverence. They play a role in upholding traditional values and fostering social cohesion. However, the analysis also reveals the ever-changing character of language and its complex relationship with culture. Language somewhat mirrors cultural standards, but individuals have the ability to make deliberate verbal choices, skillfully adapting to social interactions according to their own tastes and contextual subtleties. The dynamic connection between language and culture underscores the importance of a sophisticated comprehension of sociolinguistic phenomena. Furthermore, the thematic findings about market interactions highlight the crucial significance of efficient communication and transparency in enabling successful transactions. Using courteous language, along with clear questions and expressions of concern, significantly enhances customer satisfaction and trust, thereby fostering positive

interactions in the market. Nevertheless, it is essential to recognise that language characteristics are only one aspect of a complex range of factors that impact social behaviour and market dynamics. Wider socio-economic variables, personal choices, and cultural changes also influence language, reflecting and maintaining societal norms and ideals.

The analysis offered in this article highlights the complex relationship between language, culture, and social behaviour in market settings. By exploring these intricacies, the authors enhance our comprehension of human communication and social interactions, thereby facilitating further investigation and inquiry in the realm of sociolinguistics. Essentially, this research deepens our understanding of how language shapes and shapes cultural practices, social norms, and individual identities across diverse linguistic and cultural contexts.

## References

- 1) Aguilar Solano, M. (2020). Triangulation and trustworthiness: Advancing research on public service interpreting through qualitative case study methodologies. *FITISPosInternational Journal*. 2020; 7 (1): 31-52.
- 2) Al Falaq, J. S., & Puspita, D. (2021). Critical Discourse Analysis: Revealing Masculinity Through L-Men Advertisement. *Linguistics and Literature Journal*, 2(1), 62–68.
- 3) Alfurqan, A., Saputra, E., Deprizon, D., Hidayat, A. T., & Syaputri, W. (2024). The Interconnection Between Islamic Education and Ideological Thought: Impacts on Religious Practices in Minangkabau. *Community Practitioner*, 21(1), 321–328.
- 4) Al-khresheh, M. H. (2024). Bridging technology and pedagogy from a global lens: Teachers' perspectives on integrating ChatGPT in English language teaching. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 6, 100218.
- 5) Amin, M. E. K., Nørgaard, L. S., Cavaco, A. M., Witry, M. J., Hillman, L., Cernasev, A., & Desselle, S. P. (2020). Establishing trustworthiness and authenticity in qualitative pharmacy research. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*, 16(10), 1472–1482.
- 6) Ardi, H., Nababan, M. R., & SANTOSA, R. (2018). Characters' Politeness Strategies in Giving Command: Should Translators Keep Them? *3L: Language, Linguistics, Literature*, 24(2).
- 7) Atmazaki, A., Ramadhan, S., & Indriyani, V. (2023). Dialogic-Interactive Media In Online Learning: Effectiveness In Speaking Skills. *Turkish Online Journal Of Distance Education*, 24(4), 95–111.
- 8) Baier, D., Choi, S., Goller, F., Nam, Y., & Ansorge, U. (2023). Does language rule perception? Testing a radical view of linguistic relativity. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 152(3), 794.
- 9) Banda, F. (2020). Sociolinguistics and modes of social class signalling: African perspectives. *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, 24(1), 3–15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josl.12409>
- 10) Bhatt, R. M., & Bolonyai, A. (2022). 6 Codeswitching and its Terminological Other – Translanguaging. In J. MacSwan, *Multilingual Perspectives on Translanguaging* (pp. 154–180). Multilingual Matters. <https://doi.org/10.21832/9781800415690-008>
- 11) Blomberg, J., & Zlatev, J. (2021). Metalinguistic relativity: Does one's ontology determine one's view on linguistic relativity? *Language & Communication*, 76, 35–46.
- 12) Bodó, C., Barabás, B., Fazakas, N., Gáspár, J., Jani-Demetriou, B., Laihonon, P., Lajos, V., & Szabó, G. (2022). Participation in sociolinguistic research. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, 16(4), e12451. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lnc3.12451>
- 13) Bohmeyer, J. (2020). Linguistic Relativity. In *The Wiley Blackwell companion to semantics* (pp. 1–33). John Wiley & Sons Inc.

- 14) Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). Can I use TA? Should I use TA? Should I *not* use TA? Comparing reflexive thematic analysis and other pattern-based qualitative analytic approaches. *Counselling and Psychotherapy Research*, 21(1), 37–47. <https://doi.org/10.1002/capr.12360>
- 15) Budhwar, P., Chowdhury, S., Wood, G., Aguinis, H., Bamber, G. J., Beltran, J. R., Boselie, P., Lee Cooke, F., Decker, S., DeNisi, A., Dey, P. K., Guest, D., Knoblich, A. J., Malik, A., Paauwe, J., Papagiannidis, S., Patel, C., Pereira, V., Ren, S., ... Varma, A. (2023). Human resource management in the age of generative artificial intelligence: Perspectives and research directions on ChatGPT. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 33(3), 606–659. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12524>
- 16) Catalano, T., & Waugh, L. R. (2020). *Critical Discourse Analysis, Critical Discourse Studies and Beyond* (Vol. 26). Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-49379-0>
- 17) Danesi, M. (2021). *Linguistic relativity today: Language, mind, society, and the foundations of linguistic anthropology*. Routledge.
- 18) Eren, Ö. (2024). Towards multilingual turn in language classes: Plurilingual awareness as an indicator of intercultural communicative competence. *International Journal of Multilingualism*, 21(2), 783–801. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14790718.2022.2090568>
- 19) Ermanto, E., Triwira Dhika JR, V., Ardi, H., & Juita, N. (2024). The Use of Confixes Per-/an and PeN-/an in the Novel Laskar Pelangi: A Corpus Linguistic Analysis. *International Journal of Society, Culture & Language*, 12(1), 239–255.
- 20) Fuchs, C. (2020). *Communication and capitalism: A critical theory*. University of Westminster Press.
- 21) Fuhse, J. (2021). *Social networks of meaning and communication*. Oxford University Press.
- 22) Glăveanu, V. P. (2020). A Sociocultural Theory of Creativity: Bridging the Social, the Material, and the Psychological. *Review of General Psychology*, 24(4), 335–354. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1089268020961763>
- 23) Haq, Z. U., Rasheed, R., Rashid, A., & Akhter, S. (2023). Criteria for Assessing and Ensuring the Trustworthiness in Qualitative Research. *International Journal of Business Reflections*, 4(2).
- 24) Henley, D., & Wickramasinghe, N. (Eds.). (2023). *Monsoon Asia: A reader on South and Southeast Asia*. Amsterdam University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9789400604360>
- 25) House, J., & Kádár, D. Z. (2021). *Cross-cultural pragmatics*. Cambridge University Press.
- 26) Indriyani, V., Atmazaki, A., & Ramadhan, S. (2023). Development of Multiliteracy Integrative Learning (MULGRANING) Model in Language Learning. *Education & Science/Eğitim ve Bilim*, 48(215).
- 27) Johnstone, B., & Andrus, J. (2024). *Discourse analysis*. John Wiley & Sons.
- 28) Jones, R. H. (2024). *Discourse analysis: A resource book for students*. Taylor & Francis.
- 29) Kahn, J. (2020). *Constituting the Minangkabau*. Routledge.
- 30) Karthika, V. K. (2024). The Human, Non-human Affective Entanglements: A Posthuman Perspective of an ESOL Classroom. *Higher Education for the Future*, 23476311241246634. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23476311241246634>
- 31) Keynan, O., Brandel, N., & Slakmon, B. (2022). Students' knowledge on emotion expression and recognition in computer-mediated communication: A comparative case study. *Computers & Education*, 189, 104597.
- 32) Koswara, A. (2022). *Corporate Culture Practices of German Corporations in the Host Country Indonesia: An Analysis from the Perspective of Indonesian Employees, Managers and German Expatriates*. Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-39289-5>
- 33) Lemon, L. L., & Hayes, J. (2020). Enhancing trustworthiness of qualitative findings: Using Leximancer for qualitative data analysis triangulation. *The Qualitative Report*, 25(3), 604–614.
- 34) Liddicoat, A. J. (2021). *An introduction to conversation analysis*. Bloomsbury Publishing.

- 35) Merican, A. M. (2022). Revisiting Merantau with a sense of Minangness: Cultivating the field of Malay Media Studies. *Jurnal Pengajian Media Malaysia*, 24(2), 1–18.
- 36) Mirhosseini, S.-A. (2020). *Doing Qualitative Research in Language Education*. Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-56492-6>
- 37) Nofiadri, N. (2021). *Rundiang, Minangkabau Ritual Speech: Metaphor, Meaning and Cultural Cognition* [PhD Thesis, Deakin University].
- 38) Parameswaran, U. D., Ozawa-Kirk, J. L., & Latendresse, G. (2020). To live (code) or to not: A new method for coding in qualitative research. *Qualitative Social Work*, 19(4), 630–644. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473325019840394>
- 39) Parker, L. (2024). Matrifocal, Matrilineal, or Matriarchal? Cultural Resilience and Vulnerability Among the Matrilineal and Muslim Minangkabau in Indonesia. In A. Panakkal & N. M. Arif (Eds.), *Matrilineal, Matriarchal, and Matrifocal Islam* (pp. 3–41). Springer Nature Switzerland. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-51749-5\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-51749-5_1)
- 40) Pullen, L. (2021). *Patterned Splendour: Textiles Presented on Javanese Metal and Stone Sculptures; Eighth to Fifteenth Century*. ISEAS-Yusof Ishak Institute.
- 41) Rachman, A., Syaputri, W., Kasriyati, D., Episiasi, E., Mulyanti, D., & Retnawati, S. (2024). Language Proficiency and Academic Success in University: A Comprehensive Study. *Community Practitioner*, 21(2), 202–212.
- 42) Raymond, C. (2021). *"I Forgot How Strong I Have Been": A Narrative Inquiry Of One Afghan Woman's Storied Experiences* [PhD Thesis, [Bloomington, Ind.]: Indiana University].
- 43) Rose, J., & Johnson, C. W. (2020). Contextualizing reliability and validity in qualitative research: Toward more rigorous and trustworthy qualitative social science in leisure research. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 51(4), 432–451. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00222216.2020.1722042>
- 44) Saputra, A. H., Syaputri, W., Isnaeni, M., Septianingtiyas, V., Fitriani, D., & Fitriati, S. (2024). Improving Cultural Appreciation and Linguistic Competencies: An Educational Intervention in Bahasa Indonesia Among Elementary Students. *Community Practitioner*, 21(1), 268–278.
- 45) Sevilla, H. A. (2021). Iran and the Philippines: In the Pursuit of Constructive Engagement. *Asian Journal of Middle Eastern and Islamic Studies*, 15(3), 398–414. <https://doi.org/10.1080/25765949.2021.1985292>
- 46) Shin, D., Chotiyaputta, V., & Zaid, B. (2022). The effects of cultural dimensions on algorithmic news: How do cultural value orientations affect how people perceive algorithms? *Computers in Human Behavior*, 126, 107007.
- 47) Tambling, R. R., Tomkunas, A. J., Russell, B. S., Horton, A. L., & Hutchison, M. (2021). Thematic Analysis of Parent–Child Conversations About COVID-19: “Playing It Safe”. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 30(2), 325–337. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-020-01889-w>
- 48) Wardhaugh, R., & Fuller, J. M. (2021). *An introduction to sociolinguistics*. John Wiley & Sons.
- 49) Wortham, S., & Reyes, A. (2020). *Discourse analysis beyond the speech event*. Routledge.
- 50) Wortmann-Kolundžija, E. (2023). *Contextualising social cohesion I: An overview of concepts in Africa*. IDOS Discussion Paper.
- 51) Wu, J. (2023). *Malayic varieties of Kelantan and Terengganu*. Netherlands Graduate School of Linguistics.
- 52) Yeomans, M., Boland, F. K., Collins, H. K., Abi-Esber, N., & Brooks, A. W. (2023). A Practical Guide to Conversation Research: How to Study What People Say to Each Other. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, 6(4), 25152459231183919. <https://doi.org/10.1177/25152459231183919>
- 53) Zamjani, I. (2022). Institutional Change and Indonesian Education Governance. In I. Zamjani, *The Politics of Educational Decentralisation in Indonesia* (pp. 17–50). Springer Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6901-9\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6901-9_2)